UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,532	09/22/2003	Esko Aulanko	1381-0302P	5925
	7590 03/18/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	LANGDON, EVAN H		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/665,532	AULANKO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	EVAN H. LANGDON	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ja</u>	nuarv 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7, 11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,8-10,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
, ,	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Itagaki (JP 59-164450)

An elevator comprising a hoisting rope 4 set having hoisting ropes of a substantially round cross-section, a counterweight 6 and an elevator car 5 suspended from the hoisting ropes and at least one pulley provided with rope grooves, the at least one rope pulley 2 being a traction sheave coated with a material 9 increasing the coefficient of friction, the traction sheave being driven by a drive machine to move the hoisting rope set, at least the traction sheave 9 forms together with the hoisting rope set a material pair made of different materials (see abstract) that allows the hoisting rope to bite into the traction sheave after the coating on the surface of the traction sheave has been lost, and where the elevator is usable when the coating on the surface of the tractions she has been lost.

In regards to claim 6 and 10, Itagaki discloses a material 10a under the coating 9, where the coating and the material under the coating are made of different materials.

In regards to claim 8 and 9, Itagaki discloses traction sheave groove having a V-shape (Fig. 6-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itagaki in view of Piech et al. (US 6,267,205).

Piech teaches an elevator rope having a diameter between 3-5 mm (Col. 5 line 11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the rope of Itagaki to include a rope having a diameter between 3-5mm as suggested by Piech, to reduce wear.

Response to Arguments

In regard Examiner's indication of allowability of claim 14 in the office action dated 11 January 2007, the allowability was withdrawn in the office action dated 31 July 2007 as anticipated by Itagaki.

In regard to Applicant's argument that the two materials referred to in claim 1 are the rope and the material of the traction sleeve, Itagaki discloses the rope 4 made of a different material than traction sleeve 9.

Although Itagaki fails to disclose how the sheave would operate if the coating has been lost, it is inherent that the sheave would not be made unusable. The efficiency at which the

sheave operates with the coating would concededly be reduced. In addition, if the material 9 of Itagaki is lost, a second material 10a would provide some frictional engagement.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EVAN H. LANGDON whose telephone number is (571)272-6948. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,532 Page 5

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Evan H Langdon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654

/Evan H Langdon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3654